| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/826,094 | MADHYASTHA, SRINIVASA | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robert B. Mondesi | 1653 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Robert B. Mondesi*.

(2) *Ronald Dargnault*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *1 November 2005*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
*8-9*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Examiner made a request for a further election with reagrds to patentably distinct polypeptides of claims 8-9. The applicant elected protamine sulfate (claim 8) and ovotransferrin (claim 9)*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)